

Lead Agency: Community Justice

Program Contact: Bill Penny

Program Offer Type: Existing Operating

Related Programs:

Program Characteristics: In Target

Executive Summary

The Pre-Trial Supervision Program (PSP) performs functions necessary for public safety and the effective operation of the local justice system. PSP uses Oregon Revised Statute (ORS) release criteria to assess safety risks of defendants to determine their potential to attend court once out of custody. During 2011, about 2,200 defendants were supervised and 1,100 investigated by PSP. The defendants were maintained in the community instead of occupying scarce and expensive jail beds. In FY 2011, less than 1% of felony defendants were arrested for another felony offense while under PSP supervision. In addition, during the first 6 months of FY 2011, 90% of felony defendants appeared for their court dates.

Program Description

The primary mission of PSP is to evaluate the risk of releasing defendants prior to trial, supervise defendants in the community and ensure that defendants attend court hearings. When a defendant is referred by the Court to PSP for review, PSP staff use evidence-based criteria during their investigation to determine whether or not a defendant is likely to pose a safety risk or is likely to attend subsequent court hearings. The results of the investigation are presented back to the Court.

When a defendant is released under PSP supervision, the assigned case manager monitors the defendant's behavior and actions through home, community and office visits, telephone contacts and in some instances electronic and Global Positioning Software (GPS). Under PSP, defendants are afforded the opportunity to maintain employment and/or school attendance, continue with health-related services (drug and alcohol counseling, mental health treatment) and reside in the community pending the resolution of their court matters. Based on data compiled by the Bureau of Justice Statistics, the re-offense and failure to appear (FTA) rates for PSP clients are substantially lower than similar programs in other cities (Cohen and Reaves 2007).

Performance Measures

Measure Type	Primary Measure	Previous Year Actual (FY10-11)	Current Year Purchased (FY11-12)	Current Year Estimate (FY11-12)	Next Year Offer (FY12-13)
Output	Number of offenders served	3,533	3,400	3,307	3,400
Outcome	Percent of released defendants who do not FTA	86.0%	90.0%	95.0%	90.0%

Performance Measure - Description

FTA is Failure to Appear.

Legal/Contractual Obligation

Revenue/Expense Detail

	Proposed General Fund	Proposed Other Funds	Proposed General Fund	Proposed Other Funds
Program Expenses	2012	2012	2013	2013
Personnel	\$1,413,451	\$0	\$1,334,362	\$0
Contracts	\$378	\$0	\$383	\$0
Materials & Supplies	\$31,940	\$0	\$20,832	\$0
Internal Services	\$73,016	\$0	\$66,472	\$0
Total GF/non-GF:	\$1,518,785	\$0	\$1,422,049	\$0
Program Total:	\$1,518,785		\$1,422,049	
Program FTE	16.00	0.00	15.00	0.00
Program Revenues				
Total Revenue:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Explanation of Revenues

County General Fund

Significant Program Changes

Last year this program was: #50034, Adult Pretrial Supervision Program
This program offer reflects a decrease of 1 FTE transferred during FY12 to another program.