

Program # 91022 - City Supplemental Payments

Version 3/16/2010 s

Lead Agency: Community Services Program Contact: Tom Hansell

Program Offer Type: Existing Operating

Related Programs:

Program Characteristics:

Executive Summary

Starting as far back as 1984, the county entered into intergovernmental revenue sharing agreements, whereby dedicated county road funds were transferred as county roads were annexed by the Cities of Portland, Gresham, Troutdale and Fairview. The supplemental payments executed by this program offer, fulfill the funding requirements of these agreements as it pertains to county road funds.

Program Description

These agreements require the county to transfer prescribed revenue amounts the county receives from the county gas tax and state highway funding. For Cities of Troutdale and Fairview the supplemental payment formula is adjusted annually based on the Consumer Price Index – Urban Index for the Portland metropolitan area. For the Cities of Portland and Gresham the supplemental payment formula is adjusted annually based on actual receipts collected by the County. Planned FY 2011 Payments:

• City of Fairview \$11,800

• City of Troutdale \$14,600

• City of Gresham \$2,800,000

• City of Portland \$22,350,000

Between 1984 and 2009 the County has transferred 605 miles of roads to the Cities.

This program offer does not deliver any county services and is submitted for the provision of providing payment to the cities. The agreements remain subject to future appropriations by any future Board of County Commissioners or City Councils. The formulas in these agreements were intended to remain permanent unless amended by mutual agreement.

Performance Measures

Measure Type	Primary Measure	Previous Year Actual (FY08-09)	Current Year Purchased (FY09-10)	Current Year Estimate (FY09-10)	Next Year Offer (FY10-11)
Output		0	0	0	0
Outcome		0	0	0	0

Performance Measure - Description

The County road funds are transferred to the Cities where the county road funds are commingled into their transportation operating budgets. The agreements do not require the City to communicate how County funds are to be used. The Cities prescribed allowed use of these funds are defined under ORS 366 which requires funds only be used for the construction, reconstruction, improvement, repair, maintenance, operation and use of public highways, roads, streets and the administration thereof.

Legal/Contractual Obligation

Funding obligations are prescribed in the city/county agreements.

Revenue/Expense Detail

	Proposed General Fund	Proposed Other Funds	Proposed General Fund	Proposed Other Funds		
Program Expenses	2010	2010	2011	2011		
Contracts	\$0	\$23,136,675	\$0	\$25,176,400		
Internal Services	\$0	\$208,231	\$0	\$226,588		
Total GF/non-GF:	\$0	\$23,344,906	\$0	\$25,402,988		
Program Total:	\$23,344,906 \$25		\$25,4	,402,988		
Program FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Program Revenues						
Indirect for dep't Admin	\$69,411	\$0	\$75,529	\$0		
Intergovernmental	\$0	\$20,569,906	\$0	\$17,552,988		
Taxes	\$0	\$2,775,000	\$0	\$7,000,000		
Other / Miscellaneous	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$850,000		
Total Revenue:	\$69,411	\$23,344,906	\$75,529	\$25,402,988		

Explanation of Revenues

Monies transferred to the Cities originate from State Highway Money and County Gas Tax received by Multnomah County.

Significant Program Changes

Last year this program was: #91022, City Supplemental Payments