

Priority: Safety
Program Offer Type: Existing Operating
Related Programs: 50032, 50033, 50034, 50045
Lead Agency: Community Justice
Program Contact: Alison Kinsey

Program Characteristics:

Executive Summary

The Sex Offender Treatment and Management Program provides comprehensive treatment and management of nearly 900 sex offenders living in Multnomah County. The priorities of this unit are to increase community safety, decrease sexual victimization and reduce new criminal activity. This program reduces the risk sex offenders pose to the community by providing effective treatment combined with aggressive field supervision.

Program Description

Specially trained and certified Probation/Parole Officers (PPOs) supervise over 850 sexual offenders of whom approximately 200 have been identified as predatory. High and medium-risk offenders are actively supervised in one of four field offices. Lower risk cases are assigned to a Reduced Supervision Caseload. Although they are placed in field offices, PPOs remain attached to the Sex Offender Unit and are trained to intervene quickly, providing jail sanctions when behavior patterns indicate an offender is entering a re-offense cycle.

This program mandates a comprehensive offender evaluation and assessment of sexual behaviors. Sex offender specific treatment is also mandated and provided by 32 approved providers in the community. Ongoing polygraph examinations help determine sexual history and monitor compliance with treatment and supervision conditions. On occasion, pharmacological (drug) treatment is used to reduce deviant sexual arousal. Research indicates the use of polygraph examinations is associated with a reduction in re-offense rates (English et al., 2000; Grubin et al., 2002). Pharmacological treatment has been shown to be effective for individuals who suffer from hyper-sexuality and/or significant levels of deviant arousal (Cohen, 1999; Hill et al., 2003; McDonald & Bradford, 2000).

Program Justification

The program has a direct effect on community safety and offender accountability. Research indicates that offenders who successfully participate in sex offender treatment are less likely to re-offend than those who reject treatment (McGrath et al., 2003; Seager et al., 2004); both sexual and general recidivism rates of treated sex offenders was lower than recidivism rates of untreated sex offenders (Gallagher et al, 1999; Hanson et. al, 2002; Hall, 1995); effective sex offender treatment has been shown to reduce sexual recidivism from 5 to 10% (Center for Sex Offender Management); and managing sex offenders who are amenable to treatment and supervised intensely within the community following an appropriate term of incarceration can help prevent future victimization while saving taxpayers substantial imprisonment costs (Lotke, 1996; Prentky & Burgess, 1990).

Performance Measures

Measure Type	Primary Measure	Previous Year Actual (FY06-07)	Current Year Purchased (FY07-08)	Current Year Estimate (FY07-08)	Next Year Offer (FY08-09)
Output	Number of indigent SO who received subsidized SO treatment annually.	266	250	281	280
Outcome	Percent of parolees who did not recidivate.	93.0%	90.0%	93.0%	90.0%
Outcome	Percent of probationers who did not recidivate.	86.0%	90.0%	86.0%	90.0%

Performance Measure - Description

Adult recidivism is measured by a three year felony conviction rate. Recidivism data is reflected for those adult offenders who entered supervision three years ago. The "Current Year Estimate" is based on "Previous Year Actual" figures due to the lack of DOC reporting for this period.

Legal/Contractual Obligation

Sex offender supervision treatment functions are mandated under ORS Chapters 137 and 144, and OARs 291-065- 0005 through 0007. ORS 423.478 requires that "counties, in partnership with the department (of Corrections), shall assume responsibility for community-based supervision, sanctions and services for offenders convicted of felonies who are:(a) On parole; (b) On probation; (c) On post-prison supervision." Counties may opt-out of community supervision, but only if state funding falls below baseline; currently it does not.

Revenue/Expense Detail

	Proposed General Fund	Proposed Other Funds	Proposed General Fund	Proposed Other Funds
Program Expenses	2008	2008	2009	2009
Personnel	\$0	\$211,926	\$0	\$227,145
Contracts	\$426,360	\$7,094	\$347,435	\$110,120
Materials & Supplies	\$10,000	\$520	\$10,000	\$520
Internal Services	\$0	\$17,650	\$0	\$24,996
Subtotal: Direct Exps:	\$436,360	\$237,190	\$357,435	\$362,781
Administration	\$39,076	\$0	\$37,590	\$0
Program Support	\$134,551	\$21,080	\$141,123	\$20,119
Subtotal: Other Exps:	\$173,627	\$21,080	\$178,713	\$20,119
Total GF/non-GF:	\$609,987	\$258,270	\$536,148	\$382,900
Program Total:	\$868,257		\$919,048	
Program FTE	0.00	2.00	0.00	2.00
Program Revenues				
Indirect for dep't Admin	\$12,623	\$0	\$18,004	\$0
Fees, Permits & Charges	\$0	\$162,870	\$0	\$160,650
Intergovernmental	\$0	\$74,320	\$0	\$202,131
Program Revenue for Admin	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Revenue:	\$12,623	\$237,190	\$18,004	\$362,781

Explanation of Revenues

County General Fund \$357,435; State Department of Corrections (DOC) \$202,131; Probation Supervision Fees \$160,650

Significant Program Changes

Last year this program was: #50037, Adult Sex Offender Treatment and Management