

Program # 91009A - Emergency Management

Version 2/17/2006 s

Priority: Safety Lead Agency: Community Services

Program Offer Type: Existing Operating Program Contact: Steven Bullock

Related Programs:

Program Characteristics:

Executive Summary

Emergency Management's (EM)primary responsibility is to coordinate county-wide emergency prepardness and readiness, to respond to disasters with assistance and to equip and train responders.

Program Description

Plan regional, countywide and government response to natural and human caused disaster or emergencies. Train emergency responders in equipment use, communications, assessment, incident command, and tactics. Prevention of emergencies and disasters through information collection, analysis, and distribution. Protection of infrastructures through mitigation activities.

Respond to disasters and emergencies, move support activities into the field, and provide on-scene planning and logistical support.

Work with many other agencies to prepare county organizations for disaster response and recovery.

Provide intelligence and information on natural and human caused hazards faced by the County.

Alert agencies providing shelter and performing outreach to homeless people during a servere weather emergency.

Develop County partnership with at risk communities to create an emergency preparedness communication plan.

Program Justification

Safety system components work effectively together through the cross disciplinary efforts of Emergency Management. EM works to assure compatibility of training, plans, and equipment across fire, police, EMS, and public works response organizations. Citizens are safer through the equipping and training of response agencies. Coordinated communication, standardized equipment, and common response protocols and plans occurs on a departmental, county wide and regional basis due to EM efforts. Use of new and innovative resources assured that the County is prepared to respond and recover after a disaster. The nationally studied Multnomah Alert, Response, and Recovery System (MARRS) integrates new technology with established plans to alert individuals of hazardous conditions. It rapidly distributes documents, maps and text, allowing for quick response to hazards. Gather and distribute hazard information from a wide variety of sources (i.e. Weather Service, 911, email alerts, Health Alert Network) to provide responders with better hazard information resulting in a more effective response.

Performance Measures

Measure Type	Primary Measure	Previous Year Actual (FY04-05)	Current Year Purchased (FY05-06)	Current Year Estimate (FY05-06)	Next Year Offer (FY06-07)
Output	Percent of attendance at collaborative meetings	0%	0%	0%	80%
Outcome	Percent of vulnerable infrastructure indentified and mitigated	0%	0%	0%	100%
Output	Number of emergency response plans produced and promulgated	0	0	0	3

Performance Measure - Description

EM will assure collaboration with other agencies, jurisdictions and disciplines through joint meetings. The goal for attendance at the regularly scheduled meetings is 80%. Identify vulnerable infrastructure and produce a plan to mitigate these hazards in the event of an emergency. Goal is 100%. Planning for emergencies is a critical function of EM. The number of emergency response plans produced, revised and promulgated measures this function. The goal is 3 plans in FY07.

Legal/Contractual Obligation

ORS 401 mandates counties to establish an emergency management agency & sets the minimum requirements as planning for the creation & maintenance of emergency operations plan, management and maintenance of emergency operating facilities, & establishment of incident command structures for management of a coordinated response. ORS 401 also directs the coordination of Search and Rescue activities, and the registration of Emergency Service Workers. In addition, Multnomah County has MCC 1000 which outlines additional duties such as incident status collection & dissemination, emergency response, damage assessment, and coordination between Federal, State, and Local governments.

Revenue/Expense Detail

	Proposed General Fund	Proposed Other Funds	Proposed General Fund	Proposed Other Funds	
Program Expenses	2006	2006	2007	2007	
Personnel	\$231,588	\$30,754	\$243,664	\$0	
Contracts	\$2,000	\$3,326,330	\$2,000	\$201,500	
Materials & Supplies	\$15,000	\$157,734	\$15,000	\$163,000	
Internal Services	\$101,668	\$106,998	\$107,517	\$109,295	
Capital Outlay	\$0	\$222,725	\$0	\$0	
Subtotal: Direct Exps:	\$350,256	\$3,844,541	\$368,181	\$473,795	
Administration	\$0	\$0	\$8,095	\$0	
Program Support	\$0	\$0	\$5,233	\$22,000	
Subtotal: Other Exps:	\$0	\$0	\$13,328	\$22,000	
Total GF/non-GF:	\$350,256	\$3,844,541	\$381,509	\$495,795	
Program Total:	\$4,19	\$4,194,797		\$877 _, 304	
Program FTE	0.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	
Program Revenues					
Indirect for dep't Admin	\$132	\$0	\$625	\$0	
Fees, Permits & Charges	\$89,998	\$0	\$66,655	\$0	
Intergovernmental	\$0	\$3,844,541	\$0	\$473,795	
Program Revenue for Admin	\$0	\$0	\$263	\$22,000	
Total Revenue:	\$90,130	\$3,844,541	\$67,543	\$495,795	

Explanation of Revenues

Besides a general fund contribution, Emergency Management also recives funding through an Emergency Management Performance Grant. In addition, EM has received funding through Domestic Preparedness & Homeland Security programs, in which the grant programs are targeted for approved equipment items. It is anticipated that these funding streams will transition into more project based applications.

Significant Program Changes

Last year this program was: #90007, Emergency Management