

<b>Priority:</b>	Safety	<b>Lead Agency:</b>	Community Justice
<b>Program Offer Type:</b>	Existing Operating	<b>Program Contact:</b>	Alison Kinsey
<b>Related Programs:</b>	50023		

**Frameworks:**

### **Executive Summary**

The Sex Offender Treatment and Management Program provides comprehensive services for the supervision, treatment and management of 900 sexual offenders to increase community safety, decrease sexual victimization, and reduce new criminal activity.

### **Program Description**

The Adult Sex Offender Treatment Management Program supervises 900 sexual offenders of whom approximately 200 have been identified as predatory. High and medium risk offenders are actively supervised in one of five field offices with lower risk cases assigned to a Reduced Supervision Caseload. The program requires a comprehensive offender evaluation and assessment of sexual behaviors, as well as sex offender specific treatment offered by 32 approved community providers. Ongoing polygraph examinations help determine sexual history and monitor compliance with treatment and supervision. Occasionally, pharmacological (drug) treatment is also used to reduce deviant sexual arousal.

### **Program Justification**

The program has a direct effect on community safety and offender accountability. Research indicates that offenders who attend and cooperate with treatment are less likely to re-offend than those who reject treatment (Center for Sex Offender Management); effective sex offender treatment interventions can reduce sexual recidivism by 8% (Hall, 1995); sex offenders who fail to complete treatment are at increased risk for both sexual and general recidivism (Hanson & Bussiere, 1998 & 2004); and managing offenders who are amenable to treatment and supervised intensely within the community following an appropriate term of incarceration can help prevent future victimization while saving taxpayers substantial imprisonment costs (Lotke, 1996). Research indicates the use of polygraph exams is associated with a reduction in re-offense rates (Grubin, Parsons, Sosnowski & Wilcox, 2002). Pharmacological treatment has shown to be effective for individuals who suffer from hypersexuality and/or significant levels of deviant arousal (Hanson & Bussiere, 1998 & 2004).

### **Performance Measures**

On July 1, 2004, the Department of Community Justice began collecting baseline data from the Multnomah County Sex Offender Treatment Progress Report to guide the development of performance measures that examines treatment impact/effectiveness on an offender's behavior over time. DCJ is also collecting arrest and conviction data on offenders that relate to both sexual and non-sexual offenses during treatment.

### **Summary of last year's program results and this year's expected results**

Data collection began in July, 2004, therefore, no data is available for last year.

Sex Offenders are to be referred to sex offender treatment within 60 days of being placed on community supervision or released from custody. Full disclosure polygraphs are to be taken within the first 6 months of treatment and maintenance polygraph exams are to be taken every 6 months.

**Program Mandate: 2 Mandated Program with Funding/Service Level Choice**

Sex offender supervision treatment functions are mandated under ORS Chapters 137 and 144, and OAR's 291-065-0005 through 0007. ORS 423.478 requires that "counties, in partnership with the department (of Corrections), shall assume responsibility for community-based supervision, sanctions and services for offenders convicted of felonies who are:(a) On parole; (b) On probation; (c) On post-prison supervision." Counties may opt-out of community supervision, but only if state funding falls below baseline; currently it does not.

**Revenue/Expense Detail**

	Proposed General Fund	Proposed Other Funds	Proposed General Fund	Proposed Other Funds
	2005	2005	2006	2006
<b>Program Expenses</b>				
Personnel	\$0	\$250,973	\$0	\$175,695
Contracts	\$354,579	\$57,094	\$376,308	\$57,094
Materials & Supplies	\$0	\$500	\$0	\$500
Internal Services	\$27,799	\$23,153	\$28,411	\$20,437
Subtotal: Direct Exps:	<b>\$382,378</b>	<b>\$331,720</b>	<b>\$404,719</b>	<b>\$253,726</b>
Administration	\$0	\$0	\$33,168	\$0
Program Support	\$0	\$0	\$136,841	\$19,394
Subtotal: Other Exps:	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$170,009</b>	<b>\$19,394</b>
Total GF/non-GF:	<b>\$382,378</b>	<b>\$331,720</b>	<b>\$574,728</b>	<b>\$273,120</b>
Program Total:	<b>\$714,098</b>		<b>\$847,848</b>	
Program FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00
<b>Program Revenues</b>				
Indirect for dep't Admin	\$13,237	\$0	\$10,708	\$0
Intergovernmental	\$0	\$261,107	\$0	\$253,726
Program Revenue for Admin	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Total Revenue:</b>	<b>\$13,237</b>	<b>\$261,107</b>	<b>\$10,708</b>	<b>\$253,726</b>

**Explanation of Revenues**

State Department of Corrections funding \$253,726. DOC funding will most likely be reduced for the new biennium.

**Significant Program Changes**

DOC funding will most likely be reduced for the new biennium.